

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/732,705	12/11/2000	Shinji Koyano	Q62174	2917
7	590 06/18/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			EXAMINER GRIER, LAURA A	
			2644	11
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	3 / 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant	t(s)				
		09/732,705	KOYANO	ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Laura A Grier	2644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	//or election require	nent.					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
ŕ	Applicant may not request that any objection to		·	1.85(a).				
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) F Notice of Informal Patent Applica Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayase.

Regarding **claim 1**, Hayase disclose a bass enhancing device for a loudspeaker system. Hayase's disclosure comprise a loudspeaker (2), a vibration detecting means (4) for detecting the vibrations (amplitude) of the speaker unit, eventhough the detecting means is directly coupled to the passive radiator, is inherently evident that the detecting means detects motion characteristics from the speaker unit structure as well as the radiator as supported in col. 3, lines 56-68 and a feedback circuit indicative of positive feedback (figure 1 and col. 4, lines 33-59) of the detected current of the speaker unit to an amplifier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/732,705

Art Unit: 2644

4. Claims 11, and 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayase in view of Daniels, U. S. Patent No. 5418860.

Regarding claims 11, and 15, Hayase disclose a bass enhancing device for a loudspeaker system. Hayase's disclosure comprise a loudspeaker (2), a vibration detecting means (4) for detecting the vibrations (amplitude) of the speaker unit, eventhough the detecting means is directly coupled to the passive radiator, is inherently evident that the detecting means detects motion characteristics from the speaker unit structure as well as the radiator as supported in col. 3, lines 56-68 and a feedback circuit indicative of positive feedback (figure 1 and col. 4, lines 33-59) of the detected current of the speaker unit to an amplifier. However, Hayase fails to specifically disclose a means of integrating the detecting signal. The examiner maintains that integrating the detection was well known in the art.

Regarding integrating the detection signal, in a similar field of endeavor, Daniels discloses a loudspeaker with feedback, wherein the detection signal is integrated in an electric processing circuit by an amplitude integrator (col. 62-68 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Hayase by providing an integrator for the purpose of limiting the amplitude gain of the an input signal, and avoiding distortion of the loudspeaker output as disclosed by Daniels.

Application/Control Number: 09/732,705 Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-10 are allowed.

6. Claims 2-4, 12-14, and 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant basically argues that references of prior art, Hayase, fails to teach the claimed invention in respect to the fact that the detection senses signals for the passive radiator. The prior art of rejection of Hayase been maintained. The passive radiator of Hayase act according with the movement of the loudspeaker by the fact that both are positioned in the same enclosure, wherein movement characteristics of one component effects the other, thus the detector of the passive radiator detects motion characteristic signals of the loudspeaker as well. The Noro reference has not been maintained. Another reference has been introduced to support the claimed invention in claims 11 and 15 that supports the integrating means.

ρħ

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

FORESTER W. ISEN
SUPERUSORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2609